Synthesis of Indeno[1,2-c]furans via a Pd-Catalyzed Bicyclization of 2-Alkynyliodobenzene and Propargylic Alcohol

Jisong Jin, Yan Luo, Chao Zhou, Xiaopeng Chen, Qiaodong Wen, Ping Lu,* and Yanguang Wang*

Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P. R. China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A general and efficient synthesis of indeno[1,2]furans via a Pd-catalyzed bicyclization reaction between 2-alkynyliodobenzenes and propargylic alcohols is described. The procedure furnishes indeno[1,2]furans with moderate to excellent yields (51%-78%) and a broad substrate scope. The cascade process combines the formation of one C–O bond and two C–C bonds in a single step.



F used heterocyclic compounds are important substructures in many natural products and drugs.^{1–3} Among these fused heterocycles, O-containing heterocycles act in an important and indispensable role, such as the tricyclic indenofurans, which are key substructures of (–)-galiellalactone,⁴ GR-24,⁵ leucosceptroid A,^{6,7} and ramelteon⁸ as well. Due to their biological importance, a growing number of methods have been developed to prepare various indenofurans. Among these, there is only one publication related to the construction of the indeno[1,2-c]furan skeleton which started from *o*-lithiated aryloxiranes and α,β -unsaturated malonates *via* Micheal addition and a sequential ring opening of oxirane.⁹

Recently, synthesis of fused heterocyclic compounds through Pd-catalyzed bicyclization from alkyne has attracted significant attention.¹⁰⁻¹⁵ These reactions construct fused-heterocycles in cascade approach with high atom efficiency and fit the basic requirements of green chemistry.^{16–20} For instance, our group developed a cascade construction of 8H-acenaphtho[1,2c]pyrroles from 1,8-diarenynyl naphthalenes and primary amines through an aminopalladation and a sequential carbopalladation over two triple bonds.²¹ Wu and co-workers revealed that fused heterocycles containing an indene skeleton could be synthesized via a palladium-catalyzed bicyclization of amine, phenol, or amide across two triple bonds.²²⁻²⁷ Nevertheless, to our knowledge, the preparation of indenofurans via this cascade process was never reported. Herein, we would like to report a palladium-catalyzed bicyclization between 2-alkynyliodobenzene and propargylic alcohol, which furnished the skeleton of indeno[1,2-c]furan in a single step.

Our initial effort focused on the palladium-catalyzed reaction between 4-(2-iodophenyl)-2-methyl-3-butyn-2-ol (1) and aniline to construct an indole or quinoline skeleton under a nitrogen atmosphere in DMF at 100 °C for 12 h (Scheme 1). To our surprise, we obtained 2 in a 40% yield along with a 50% recovery of 1. Aniline did not participate in the reaction. The structure of 2 was confirmed by single crystal analysis (Figure S1). Compound 2 included a tricyclic indeno[1,2-c] furan substructure, resulting from a self-condensation of two molecules of 1 accompanied by an elimination of HI. Prompted by this result and the biological importance of indeno[1,2-c]

Scheme 1. Formation of 2 from 1



c]furans, we tested the cross-condensation reaction between 3a and 4a under the same reaction conditions. In this manner, product 5a was obtained in 38% yield.

Then we focused our attention toward optimizing the reaction conditions, and the results are listed in Table 1. $Pd(OAc)_2$ presented the highest efficiency in comparison with $PdCl_2$, $Pd(PPh_3)_4$, and $PdCl_2(PPh_3)_2$ (Table1, entries 1-4). When $PdCl_2(PPh_3)_2$ was used, only a trace amount of **5a** was detected by TLC, which indicated that this could be a phosphine ligand free reaction. K₂CO₃ was found to be the best base additive (Table 1, entries 5-8). No desired product was detected when strong bases, such as DBU and KOH, were used which indicated that addition of a suitable base additive was critical to approach a practical reaction. The optimal solvent was determined to be DMF (Table 1, entries 9-14). Both lowering the reaction temperature to 90 °C and raising the reaction temperature to 130 °C would decrease the yield of 5a (Table 1, entries 15 and 16). It was noticeable that this reaction could be conducted under air or by using 4 Å MS instead of anhydrous MgSO₄, but with slightly lower yields (Table 1, entries 17 and 18). The suitable reaction time was found to be 12 h. Shortening the reaction time would decrease the yield (entry 19), while extending the reaction time was not necessary.

Received: October 10, 2012 Published: November 30, 2012 Table 1. Screening of Reaction Conditions for the Formation of $5a^{a}$



^{*a*}Reaction conditions: Reaction was conducted under N₂ with **3a** (0.5 mmol), **4a** (0.75 mmol), Pd catalyst (5 mol %, 0.025 mmol), base (1 mmol), and anhydrous MgSO₄ (2 mmol) in solvent (2 mL) for 12 h unless specially indicated. ^{*b*}Isolated yield. ^{*c*}Under air. ^{*d*}Using 4 Å MS instead of anhydrous MgSO₄. ^{*e*}8 h.

With optimized reaction conditions in hand, the substrate scope of this palladium-catalyzed tandem reaction was then investigated (Table 2). With respect to propargylic alcohols 4, the yields were not apparently affected by either electrondonating or -withdrawing groups on the R² moiety. The desired products 4a-4f were isolated in moderate yields ranging from 51% to 75% (Table 2, entries 1-6). Single crystal analysis of 5d further supported the products containing an indeno[1,2c]furan substructure. 4g and 4h, both with the thiophene, afforded the corresponding 5g and 5h in moderate yields, indicating that the thiophene ring tolerated this palladiumcatalyzed bicyclization (Table 2, entries 7 and 8). However, the o-MeOC₆H₄ substituted 4i did not react although 3a was completely consumed as indicated by TLC (Table 2, entry 9). A similar result was observed for 4j-4l (Table 2, entries 10-12). The possible reason might be the steric hindrance. We also tested the substituent effect of R¹ in 3 on this transformation. If the substituent was an electron-donating group, such as methyl, methoxy, and n-butyl, the yields decreased to 56%, 62%, and 55%, respectively (Table 2, entries 13–15). A slightly increased yield was obtained when the substituent group was fluorine (Table 2, entry 16). However, when we changed the $C_6H_4R^1$ group of 3 into alkyl, such as 1-(hept-1-yn-1-yl)-2-iodobenzene, no corresponding product was isolated with the recovery of two starting materials. By altering 1-iodo-2-(phenylethynyl)benzene (3a) to 1-bromo-2-(phenylethynyl)benzene (3g), we isolated 5a in a relatively lower yield (61%, Table 2, entry 18). Similarly, 1-bromo-2-(phenylethynyl)benzenes 3h (Table 2, entry 19)

Table 2. Pd-Catalyzed Reaction of 2-Alkynyliodobenzenes 3with Propargylic Alcohols 4^a

R⁴ OH Pd(OAc) K₂CO₃, MgSO₄ N₂, DMF, 110 °C D² R³ è2 3 $3 (R^1/X)$ 4 $(R^2/R^3/R^4)$ 5/yield (%)^b entrv 3a (H/I) 4a (Ph/Me/Me) **5**a/75 1 4b $(p-MeC_6H_4/Me/Me)$ 2 3a 5b/72 4c (p-MeOC₆H₄/Me/Me) 3 3a 5c/60 4d $(p-PhC_6H_4/Me/Me)$ 4 3a 5d/65 4e $(p-MeCOC_6H_4/Me/Me)$ 5 3a 5e /51 4f $(p-NO_2C_6H_4/Me/Me)$ 6 3a **5f**/61 7 3a 4g (3-thiophenyl/Me/Me) 5g/67 8 3a **4h** $(3-\text{thiophenyl}/-(CH_2)_{5}-)$ 5h/53 9 3a 4i (o-MeOC₆H₄/Me/Me) 10 3a 4j $(m-MeC_6H_4/Me/Me)$ С с 11 3a 4k (1-naphthalenyl/Me/Me) С 12 3a 4l (Ph/Ph/Me) 13 3b (Me/I) **5**i/56 4a 14 3c (OMe/I) 5j/62 4a 15 3d (n-Bu/I) 4a 5k/55 3e (F/I) 51 /78 16 4a 17 3f (COMe/I) 5m/66 4a 18 3g (H/Br) **5**a/61 4a 19 3h (OMe/Br) 4a 5j/57 20 3i (F/Br) 51 /53 4a

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: **3** (0.5 mmol), **4** (0.75 mmol), $Pd(OAc)_2$ (5 mol %), K_2CO_3 (1 mmol), $MgSO_4$ (2 mmol), and DMF (2 mL) were mixed and reacted at 110 °C for 12 h under nitrogen. ^{*b*}Isolated yield. ^{*c*}Recovery of propargylic alcohol.

and 3i (Table 2, entry 20) also gave lower yields of 5j (57%) and 5l (53%) because of the relative reactivity of C–X bonds for the oxidative addition of palladium. With further alternation of bromo in 3g to chloro, the desired product 5a was not detected with the recovery of two starting materials.

The plausible mechanism for this transformation is proposed in Scheme 2. First, oxidative addition of palladium into the C-X bond of 3 generates intermediate A. A cooperates with propargylic alcohol 4 to form complex B, which then undergoes

Scheme 2. Proposed Mechanism for the Formation of 5



dx.doi.org/10.1021/jo302223y | J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 11368-11371

a syn-carbopalladation to form complex C. Subsequently, a syncarbopalladation occurs, resulting in the formation of D, in which palladium coordinated with the hydroxyl group. Finally, assisted by a proper base, a reductive elimination affords indeno[1,2-c]furan 5. During this cascade progress, one C–O bond and two C–C bonds were formed in a single step. Compared with classic C–C and C–O bond formation, this strategy seems to be more effective and convenient as starting materials, 2-alkynyliodobenzene and propargylic alcohols, were easily prepared.²⁸

In conclusion, we demonstrated a palladium-catalyzed bicyclization between 2-alkynylhalobenzene and propargylic alcohols, furnishing indeno[1,2-c]furans with moderate to excellent yields. A plausible mechanism for this cascade process is proposed, which involves the formation of one C–O bond and two C–C bonds in a single step. Regarding the biological importance of indenofurans, our palladium-catalyzed bicyclization would find applications in pharmaceutical chemistry.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Methods. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at 400 or 500 MHz using TMS as an internal standard and ¹³C NMR spectra at 100, or 125 MHz using CDCl₃. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were performed on an electron ionization time-of-flight (EI-TOF) mass spectrometer. Melting points were measured with a micro melting point apparatus.

The 2-alkynyliodobenzenes 3 and propargylic alcohols 4 were prepared by the Sonogashira reaction according to the published methods.²⁹ Other materials were purchased from common commercial sources and used without additional purification.

Procedure for Synthesis of Compound 2. A 10 mL roundbottom flask was charged with 4-(2-iodophenyl)-2-methyl-3-butyn-2ol (1) (0.5 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (0.025 mmol) and dry DMF (2 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at 100 °C (oil bath) under N₂ for 12 h. After cooling to room temperature, the resultant mixture was added to 30 mL of water, extracted with DCM (3 × 5 mL), and dried over Na₂SO₄. The dichloromethane was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on a silica gel to give the product 2.

General Procedures for the Reaction of 2-Alkynyliodobenzene 3 with Propargylic Alcohol 4. A 10 mL round-bottom flask was charged with 2-alkynyliodobenzene 3 (0.5 mmol), propargylic alcohol 4 (0.75 mmol), K_2CO_3 (1 mmol), $MgSO_4$ (2 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (0.025 mmol) and dry DMF (2 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at 110 °C (oil bath) under N₂ for 12 h. After cooling to room temperature, the resultant mixture was added to 30 mL of water, extracted with DCM (3 × 5 mL), and dried over Na₂SO₄. The dichloromethane was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on a silica gel to give the products.

2-(8-(2-lodophenyl)-1,1-dimethyl-1*H*-indeno[1,2-c]furan-3-yl)propan-2-ol (2). R_f = 0.2 (petroleum ether/DCM = 6:1). Yellow solid (89 mg, 40%), mp 169–171 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.05 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (dd, *J* = 12.8, 6.3 Hz, 2H), 7.10 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (s, 1H), 1.71 (s, 6H), 1.56 (s, 3H), 1.50 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.1, 152.3, 146.5, 140.7, 138.9, 131.3, 129.0, 127.7, 127.0, 126.4, 125.2, 123.7, 122.9, 120.1, 118.3, 101.3, 86.7, 71.4, 28.7, 26.8, 25.3. HRMS (EI) Calcd. for [$C_{22}H_{21}IO_2$] ([M]⁺): 444.0586, found: 444.0589.

1,1-Dimethyl-3,8-diphenyl-1*H***-indeno[1,2-c]furan (5a).** $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/DCM = 10:1). Yellow solid (126 mg, 75%), mp 143–145 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.15–8.07 (m, 2H), 8.00 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.62–7.51 (m, 5H), 7.52–7.42 (m, 3H), 7.41–7.35 (m, 1H), 7.32–7.20 (m, 2H), 1.70 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.3, 152.8, 147.2, 135.3, 131.0, 130.9, 129.2, 128.8, 128.45, 128.4, 127.6, 126.9, 125.8, 124.4, 123.2, 121.3, 120.7,

120.2, 86.7, 27.2. HRMS (EI) Calcd. for $[C_{25}H_{20}O]$ ($[M]^+$): 336.1514, found: 336.1510.

1,1-Dimethyl-3-phenyl-8-(*p***-tolyl**)-1*H***-indeno**[**1,2-c**]**furan** (**5b**). R_f = 0.3 (petroleum ether/DCM = 10:1). Yellow solid (126 mg, 72%), mp 145–146 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.14 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 8.03 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.63–7.55 (m, 3H), 7.47 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 3H), 7.28 (m, SH), 2.48 (s, 3H), 1.74 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.0, 152.5, 147.4, 136.6, 132.3, 131.1, 130.8, 129.2, 129.1, 128.8, 128.4, 127.6, 125.7, 124.4, 123.2, 121.3, 120.8, 120.2, 86.6, 27.2, 21.4. HRMS (EI) Calcd. for [C₂₆H₂₂O] ([M]⁺): 350.1671, found: 350.1674.

8-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1,1-dimethyl-3-phenyl-1*H***-indeno[1,2-***c*]**furan (5c).** R_f = 0.3 (petroleum ether/DCM = 8:1). Yellow solid (110 mg, 60%), mp 141–142 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.11 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 8.01 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.64–7.52 (m, 3H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.44 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (tt, *J* = 14.8, 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.04 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 1.71 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 158.8, 158.6, 152.2, 147.4, 131.0, 130.7, 130.2, 128.7, 128.3, 127.6, 125.6, 123.9, 123.1, 121.2, 120.6, 120.0, 113.8, 86.5, 55.3, 27.1. HRMS (EI) Calcd. for $[C_{26}H_{22}O_2]$ ($[M]^+$): 366.1620, found: 366.1620.

8-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-yl)-1,1-dimethyl-3-phenyl-1*H*-indeno-[**1,2-c]furan (5d).** R_f = 0.2 (petroleum ether/DCM = 10:1). Yellow solid (134 mg, 65%), mp 155–156 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.16 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 8.06 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (t, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 4H), 7.69–7.50 (m, 8H), 7.42 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.37–7.25 (m, 2H), 1.78 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.4, 152.9, 147.0, 140.8, 139.5, 134.3, 130.9, 130.9, 129.5, 128.8, 128.8, 128.4, 127.6, 127.3, 127.1, 127.0, 125.7, 123.9, 123.2, 121.3, 120.8, 120.2, 86.7, 27.1. HRMS (EI) Calcd. for $[C_{31}H_{24}O]$ ([M]⁺): 412.1827, found: 412.1828.

1-(4-(1,1-Dimethyl-3-phenyl-1*H***-indeno[1,2-c]furan-8-yl)-phenyl)ethanone (5e).** $R_f = 0.2$ (petroleum ether/DCM = 6:1). Yellow solid (96 mg, 51%), mp 183–184 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.16–8.07 (m, 4H), 8.03 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (q, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.35–7.23 (m, 2H), 2.70 (s, 3H), 1.73 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.8, 160.3, 154.0, 146.3, 140.6, 135.4, 131.1, 130.6, 129.1, 128.8, 128.6, 128.4, 127.5, 125.8, 123.4, 123.1, 121.3, 120.8, 119.9, 86.8, 27.0, 26.7. HRMS (EI) Calcd. for $[C_{27}H_{22}O_2]$ ($[M]^+$): 378.1620, found: 378.1624.

1,1-Dimethyl-8-(4-nitrophenyl)-3-phenyl-1*H***-indeno[1,2-c]furan (5f). R_f = 0.3 (petroleum ether/DCM = 6:1). Yellow solid (116 mg, 61%), mp 178–181 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) \delta 8.33 (d,** *J* **= 8.3 Hz, 2H), 8.13–8.07 (m, 2H), 8.02 (d,** *J* **= 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (d,** *J* **= 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.59 (d,** *J* **= 6.5 Hz, 3H), 7.44 (d,** *J* **= 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.35–7.24 (m, 2H), 1.72 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) \delta 161.2, 155.1, 146.4, 145.7, 142.7, 131.4, 130.4, 129.5, 128.9, 128.5, 127.4, 125.9, 123.9, 123.7, 121.9, 121.4, 120.9, 119.7, 86.9, 27.1. HRMS (EI) Calcd. for [C_{25}H_{19}NO_3] ([M]^+): 381.1365, found: 381.1368.**

1,1-Dimethyl-3-phenyl-8-(thiophen-3-yl)-1*H***-indeno[1,2-c]furan (5g).** $R_f = 0.2$ (petroleum ether/DCM = 8:1). Yellow solid (115 mg, 67%), mp 173–175 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.12 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 8.02 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.65–7.52 (m, 4H), 7.47 (s, 1H), 7.41 (s, 1H), 7.34 (dd, *J* = 16.9, 6.1 Hz, 2H), 7.29–7.22 (m, 1H), 1.76 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.2, 152.7, 147.0, 135.3, 130.8, 128.8, 128.6, 128.5, 128.3, 127.5, 125.7, 125.4, 123.2, 122.0, 121.2, 120.5, 120.2, 118.8, 86.6, 26.7. HRMS (EI) Calcd. for [$C_{23}H_{18}OS$] ([M]⁺): 342.1078, found: 342.1077.

3'-**Phenyl-8**'-(**thiophen-3-yl**)**spiro**[**cyclohexane-1**,1'-**indeno**-[**1**,**2**-**c**]**furan**] (**5h**). R_f = 0.2 (petroleum ether/DCM = 8:1). Yellow solid (101 mg, 53%), mp 199–201 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.14 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 8.01 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (dq, *J* = 10.2, 7.1 Hz, 3H), 7.52–7.44 (m, 2H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.34 –7.22(m, 3H), 2.01–1.74 (m, 9H), 1.35 (m, 1H).¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.4, 153.2, 147.4, 135.5, 131.3, 130.8, 129.1, 128.8, 128.5, 127.5, 125.7, 125.3, 123.1, 122.4, 121.4, 120.9, 120.2, 118.7, 88.2, 35.7, 25.0, 22.9. HRMS (EI) Calcd. for [C₂₆H₂₂OS] ([M]⁺): 382.1391, found: 382.1393.

1,1-Dimethyl-8-phenyl-3-(p-tolyl)-1H-indeno[1,2-c]furan (**5i**). $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/DCM = 10:1). Yellow solid (98 mg, 56%), mp 142–143 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.05 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 3H), 7.59 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (dd, *J* = 12.8, 5.6 Hz, 3H), 7.42 (t, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 3H),7.34–7.25(m,, 2H), 2.52 (s, 3H), 1.75 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.7, 152.9, 147.1, 141.4, 135.5, 129.5, 129.3, 128.5, 128.4, 128.2, 127.6, 126.8, 125.5, 123.9, 123.1, 121.3, 120.2, 120.1, 86.6, 27.2, 21.8. HRMS (EI) Calcd. for [C₂₆H₂₂O] ([M]⁺): 350.1671, found: 350.1668.

3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1,1-dimethyl-8-phenyl-1*H***-indeno[1,2-c]furan (5j).** $R_f = 0.2$ (petroleum ether/DCM = 8:1). Yellow solid (113 mg, 62%), mp 146–147 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.10 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 8.02 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.49 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 7.38 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.33–7.20 (m, 2H), 7.11 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 1.71 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 161.7, 159.6, 152.9, 146.8, 135.5, 130.2, 129.2, 128.4, 127.4, 126.7, 125.3, 123.4, 122.9, 121.1, 120.0, 119.3, 114.2, 86.5, 55.5, 27.2. HRMS (EI) Calcd. for $[C_{26}H_{22}O_2]$ ($[M]^+$): 366.1620, found: 366.1619.

3-(4-Butylphenyl)-1,1-dimethyl-8-phenyl-1*H***-indeno[1,2-***c*]**furan (5k).** R_f = 0.2 (petroleum ether/DCM = 10:1). Yellow solid (108 mg, 55%), mp 113–115 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.10–8.01 (m, 3H), 7.57 (d, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 2H), 7.54–7.45 (m, 3H), 7.42 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 3H), 7.31–7.25 (m, 2H), 2.76 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 1.72 (d, *J* = 2.9 Hz, 8H), 1.45 (dd, *J* = 12.8, 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.08–0.96 (m, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.8, 152.9, 147.1, 146.4, 135.5, 129.3, 128.9, 128.4, 128.4, 127.6, 126.8, 125.5, 123.9, 123.1 121.3, 120.2, 120.1, 86.6, 35.9, 33.5, 27.2, 22.4, 14.0. HRMS (EI) Calcd. for [C₂₉H₂₈O] ([M]⁺): 392.2140, found: 392.2141.

3-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1,1-dimethyl-8-phenyl-1H-indeno[1,2-c]furan (5l). $R_f = 0.3$ (petroleum ether/DCM = 8:1). Yellow solid (138 mg, 78%), mp 143–144 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.12 (dd, J = 8.5, 5.5 Hz, 2H), 7.96 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 7.53–7.43 (m, 3H), 7.40 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.34–7.21 (m,4H), 1.71 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.2 (¹ $J_{C-F} = 200$ Hz), 158.1, 152.7, 147.3, 135.2, 130.6 (³ $J_{C-F} = 7$ Hz), 129.2, 128.5, 127.5, 127.3 (⁴ $J_{C-F} = 3$ Hz), 127.0, 125.8, 124.5, 123.3, 121.1, 120.5, 120.3, 116.2 (² $J_{C-F} = 21$ Hz), 86.8, 27.2. HRMS (EI) Calcd. for [C₂₅H₁₉OF] ([M]⁺): 354.1420, found: 354.1418.

1-(4-(1,1-Dimethyl-8-phenyl-1*H***-indeno[1,2-c]furan-3-yl)**phenyl)ethanone (5m). R_f = 0.2 (petroleum ether/DCM = 6:1). Yellow solid (124 mg, 66%), mp 180–181 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.23–8.12 (m, 4H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.57–7.46 (m, 4H), 7.41 (dd, *J* = 16.8, 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.33–7.24(m, 2H), 2.71 (s, 3H), 1.71 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.4, 157.3, 152.5, 147.6, 138.2, 135.2, 135.0 129.1, 128.7, 128.5, 128.5, 127.4, 127.2, 126.3, 125.7, 123.6, 122.5, 121.5, 120.4, 86.8, 27.1, 26.8. HRMS (EI) Calcd. for $[C_{27}H_{22}O_2]$ ($[M]^+$): 378.1620, found: 378.1618.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Copies of NMR spectra (¹H and ¹³C) for **3a-3i**, **4a-4l**, **5a-5m** and crystallographic information (CIF files) for compounds **2** and **5d**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: pinglu@zju.edu.cn; orgwyg@zju.edu.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 21272203 and 21032005).

REFERENCES

(1) Singh, S.; Bharti, N.; Mohapatra, P. P. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 1900.

(2) Li, W.-T.; Hwang, D.-R.; Chen, C.-P.; Shen, C.-W.; Huang, C.-L.; Chen, T.-W.; Lin, C.-H.; Chang, Y.-L.; Chang, Y.-Y.; Lo, Y.-K.; Tseng, H.-Y.; Lin, C.-C.; Song, J.-S.; Chen, H.-C.; Chen, S.-J.; Wu, S.-H.; Chen, C.-T. J. Med. Chem. 2003, 46, 1706.

(3) Xiao, Z.; Bastow, K. F.; Vance, J. R.; Sidwell, R. S.; Wang, H.-K.; Chen, M. S.; Shi, Q.; Lee, K.-H. J. Med. Chem. **2004**, 47, 5140.

(4) Gidlöf, R.; Johansson, M.; Sterner, O. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 5100.
(5) Thuring, J. W. J. F.; Nefkens, G. H. L.; Zwanenburg, B. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1997, 15, 1409.

(6) Uchikawa, O.; Fukatsu, K.; Tokunoh, R.; Kawada, M.; Matsumoto, K.; Imai, Y.; Hinuma, S.; Kato, K.; Nishikawa, H.; Hirai, K.; Miyamoto, M.; Ohkawa, S. *J. Med. Chem.* **2002**, *45*, 4222.

 (7) Koike, T.; Hoashi, Y.; Takai, T.; Nakayama, M.; Yukuhiro, N.; Ishikawa, T.; Hirai, K.; Uchikawa, O. J. Med. Chem. 2011, 54, 3436.

(8) Xie, J.; Ma, Y.; Horne, D. A. J. Org. Chem. **2011**, *76*, 6169.

(9) Salomone, A.; Capriati, V.; Florio, S.; Luisi, R. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1947.

(10) Sugihara, T.; Copéret, C.; Owczarczyk, Z.; Harring, L. S.; Negishi, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1994**, 116, 7923.

(11) Kojima, A.; Takemoto, T.; Sodeoka, M.; Shibasaki, M. J. Org. Chem. **1996**, *61*, 4876.

(12) Copéret, C.; Ma, S.; Sugihara, T.; Negishi, E. *Tetrahedron* 1996, 52, 11529.

(13) Roesch, K. R.; Larock, R. C. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 1551.

(14) Zhang, H.; Larock, R. C. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 3035.

(15) Yamamoto, Y.; Nagata, A.; Nagata, H.; Ando, Y.; Arikawa, Y.; Tatsumi, K.; Itoh, K. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2003**, *9*, 2469.

(16) Wang, C.; Rakshit, S.; Glorius, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 14006.

(17) Wu, T.-C.; Tai, C.-C.; Tiao, H.-C.; Chang, Y.-T.; Liu, C.-C.; Li, C.-H.; Huang, C.-H.; Kuo, M.-Y.; Wu, Y.-T. *Chem.—Eur. J.* 2011, 17, 7220.

(18) Eversloh, C. L.; Avlasevich, Y.; Chen, L.; Müllen, K. Chem.— Eur. J. 2011, 17, 12756.

(19) Chen, T.-A.; Liu, R.-S. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4644.

(20) Zhu, S.; Wu, L.; Huang, X. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 3696.

(21) Chen, X.; Jin, J.; Wang, Y.; Lu, P. Chem.-Eur. J. 2011, 17, 9920.

- (22) Luo, Y.; Hong, L.; Wu, J. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 5298.
- (23) Luo, Y.; Pan, X.; Wu, J. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1150.
- (24) Pan, X.; Luo, Y.; Wu, J. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 8967.
- (25) Luo, Y.; Wu, J. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 11137.
- (26) Pan, X.; Luo, Y.; Liu, G.; Pu, S.; Wu, J. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 171.

(27) Luo, Y.; Wu, J. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1592.

(28) Verma, A. K.; Kesharwani, T.; Singh, J.; Tandon, V.; Larock, R. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2009**, 48, 1138.

(29) Cao, H.; McNamee, L.; Alper, H. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 5281.